

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/816,867	03/23/2001	Fredrik Sundqvist	VCC0083-US	6067	
28694 7	590 09/05/2003				
HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE LLP			EXAMINER		
1299 PENNSYLVANIA AVE., NW BOX 34			KIM, CHONG HWA		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3682		
			DATE MAILED: 09/05/2003	DATE MAILED: 09/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/816,867	SUNDQVIST ET AL.			
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chong H. Kim	3682			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 25 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE 7 Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application of the control	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application	a in		
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See R 1.136(a) and the appropriat ount of the fee. The appropria originally set in the final Office	MPEP te extension te extension e action; or		
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	CFR 1.704(b).				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>25 August 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);			
(b) _ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b					
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	=-				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed ame	endment		
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT pla	ace the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were ne	wly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: 4-6 and 17.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,7 and 11-16</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
10. Other:		CHONG H. KIM			





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amending the claims to indicate that the application of the lever initiates the steps; and amending claim 13 to overcome 112 1st paragraph rejection raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.